## Eltham North Adventure Pla Do you think the design reflects the top 6 themes? Yes Yes Unsure Unsure Yes Yes Yes No Yes Unsure Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Unsure No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Unsure

Yes

Yes
No
Unsure
Yes
No
Yes
Unsure
Yes
Yes
Unsure
Yes
Yes
No
Yes
Yes
Yes
No
Yes
Yes

Unsure
Yes
No
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
No
Unsure
Yes
No
Unsure
No
No

No
Unsure
No
No
Unsure
No
No

## ayground - "Themes" survey response

## Can you tell us why?

Two like the design and think its a good starting point. My children were eager to see in there was a flying fox and monkey bars. I love the concept of the original but would like to see elements that are present in new playgrounds added like mini built in trampolines, different types of monkey bars, which effects the thenes, mean their but think it all looks a bit biand. Hoping this mistaken and the the start a think it all looks a bit biand. Hoping this mistaken and the the start a think with the start and the the start a start and the think with the start and the

The design looks similar tot the original while also taking into account swinging, climbing & hiding activities that were enjoyed by children of all ages. Love the addition of a sandpit

rnis design seems to have one slide. The original had 3. One for every level of development. Toddler to 10 years old.

I see no mention of a climbing wall.

One of the things I liked about the old playground was no sandpit. I feel this takes up too much space.

The site-lines and sense of integration with and nestling into surrounding nature was something that hiterteathing this are not a good thing considering its the most popular park in the area and there doesnt as many things for children to do low on

less slide, less poles, the rock climbing walls have gone. It does not look like the see saw, flying fox, monkey bars, balancing beam, and outside platform are not included in the design and the inside area doesn't look like it has much to do as the original. My children loved all of these elements and will be devostated that they won't be included in the new design. Not only that the majority of use will be devoted by the leaves of idea (not of note).

The different levels and areas creates plenty of opportunities for adventure. Love the design.

I'm not a huge fan of the proposed climbing net. Small children could easily fall through, its quiet hard for a parent to retrieve a child in trouble whilst watching another. Sandpits are a great place for snakes, rubbish, animal waste and syringes. In this day and age you'd be crazy to put one in.

Clearly this has been a hongest for techniques for many decodes why make it hard to maintain

There	is lots o	f adve	nture,	climbin	g, and	hiding.	I'd like	to see r	more nat	ure play.			
Here	was a ic	n or ac	ıv <del>e</del> ntu	i <del>e</del> anu	ύριιστιε	oi pia	י טוו נוופ	ungma	ıı u <del>c</del> sıyıı.	THIS COITIE	5 110	wilele	CIUSE
to that													

Looks very similar to old playground - lots of places for hiding, adventure, climbing, etc,.

It would be good to have a flying fox... needs a few more adventure elements.

The playground is not as elaborate and complex as the original design. Why not rebuild it as it was? it doesn't seem as though there is much adventure incorporated into the design, or much varietyperhaps this is because that level of detail hasn't been provided. But if not, it should be.

Other than a general design overview, the only things that are detailed are:

2 slides

a rope net

a bridge

a sandpit

pole

The old park had the above and lots besides: of additional quirks, multiple sliding poles, games to play, multiple hidden alcoves and corners, a tunnel, a staircase with twists and turns, a climbing wall and climbing steps for smaller children, logs to climb under and over. Plus, externally there were see saws, balance beams, a flying fox, a tree house with a platform for kids to climb. There was just so much more than is in the plans presented here.

I think all of the community want more than this, and if there is more, but it hasn't been detailed, then we need to see it. I'd also like to see what is not undercover.

inot sure where the hature play element will be, not the cognitive play. Inot enough detail for miding and adventure- there are lots of bare areas within the roof structure. Kids will get bored - the beauty nt sthand to see upy litle set picture should uped look hertieralive on what we hattern host abrounding playground. I have 4 kids and one in a wheelchair so I like that the wheelchair access is there too so ການຣົດອຣາຊີກ ໄດ້ບໍ່ແຮ້ ໄປຂໍ້ເຄິ່ງ ຊື່ກໍ່ບໍ່ກໍຄົຣແກ່ງ: ກາວເວຍ ເກລາ ເກຍ ແກວເນຣາຍ ກລາບາຍ ບາ ເກຍ ບາງເກລາ prayground ເຈ definitely carried over into the new one. I am glad to see the original designers are on the team for the new one. They did beautiful work last time so I do look forward to them honouring their own work and the community's requests

Can see now the architects have they to encompass some lavounte activities from onginal design within a limited hudget

It seems that the undercover area is smaller than the old playground, not the roof size but the play area. And also I hope there are as many activity zones, its hard to tell from the diagrams.

With a range of spaces and activities under one roof it definitely is adventurous. r carri tell il triere's enough to do in triere for lots of children all'at once, i carri see any monkey bars where children can climb on top and then sit there or keep moving (some sitting, some climbing) while talking and playing. The monkey bars and exercise bars were both used this way regularly. Dono attrictures don't work the same way, the whole thing mayor if anyone classic on it which

lots of these activities available and for broad age range Often whether a playground has them will determine whether we visit or not. The old playground had tham and it would be a tarrible abome to loose tham after the fire

i can see an elements included. However i trink the design could be more creative in onening an วับนั้นใส่ที่ได้ระบิโอสเซ็าฟาลีเป็นลิว โท้อิที่ตั้งเกิดให้ดู มอบลบอย แก่ 20 years a เบเ และ บาลหมู่ยน - ทบเ เยลรเ ลเบนทน play safety, and equipment has moved on. Selecting the original designers though was a lovely idea, thank you. Our son loved the playground, but as he managed to climb up to the top of the tall clide to cell out !Mome cliving of well I proved the coedy to overland desirely be occurred - very

it looks good for active and safe play.

of difficulty for all ages/sizes (e.g., for a large but less coordinated kid as well as those who are confident). Can the playground be traversed from one end to the other in more than one way? Is the

roof. Once up there, the bridge across was also not a straight shot. It revealed new play spaces in the roof. There were even stairs that led to no where but a look out. It was all part of the fun of exploring the space. All of that feels like it is missing from this new design.

Swinging? How many have you get? Rutterfly park decen't have enough - places make cure you nut

I like the proposed concept and the desperation for younger children and juniors. I like the bringing together of the swing areas. I look forward to getting the next level of detail.

Look too open for hiding - won't be able to hide n seek, missing flying fox.

Very similar to the old one. Great use of space and plenty to do for the kids.

Decause most aspects make been incorporated, mough runke to see more or the nature play/ilsky play aspects as elimbing trace. But him water play.

Different activities can take place according to individual children's maturity and interests All themes are addressed.

The design renects these. I think recycling and sustainability should be included even more than in #Aûvêrittine! havinto think that it is aûventurous. Tou have thed too hard to cover all ages and levels which has compromised the adventure for all levels.

Swinging: What swinging? What about a flying fox? What about monkey bars? What about hanging and dropping?

Climbing: One end offers climbing.

Cant see any cognitive play.

Trist prayground tappedrs รากลายา่าส่านากเขาชาบากาสา. ควาร base เฉษาวิชยสำนัก previous playground you could go at anytime of the weather and be able to not get wet or cold. It is

fînê playgroung misses the mark on the top 6 themes.

Adventure? Lacking. There appears to have been a major compromise and adventure lost out. Swinging? Hanging and dropping could readily be incorporated here.

Climbing? Only at one end.

Cognitive play? Appears to have been forgotten in its entirity.

Nature play? Zero nature play too. The awful siting in the car park area means that access to the great nature play area is lost and replaced with nothing.

Hiding? One end only. Playing hide and seek will result in everyone hiding at one end and being instantly found.

Lose the sandpit, please. The original one didn't have a sandpit - and for good reason.

Sandpits should be separate to the playground.

The entire structure is too open, too dull and lacking intrigue.

It is simplistic and is also missing a slide.

Landscaping must include additional and substantial undercover seating and tables.

The area which include a patrice name as imitar to Wombat Rend At Wombat Read Council staff Design appears focused on early preschooler abilities rather than primary school children's abilities.

Have a separate gated park for the preschoolers. And add larger slides, that twist curve, rope

course a deal that is in the tree tone more hiding places. For the primary school kide add more

All the hiding places are at one end of the playground and half the area of the playground is designed for kids under 2 years.

The playground is smaller than the previous one and has no real adventure. I shouted the animation to my 8 an 4 year old children. The 4 year old said where are the swings there are no swings Thank seer ally baid the bleans (apait normal stepping was thound said the bleans (apait normal stepping was thought become part of active games ("don't fall off into the lava pit!").

The only other element perhaps missing is some nature water play. This wasn't in the original nts not end by the a wind the intriduction of playground but the province the wasn't relie the some not appear to be huge scope for adventure. Given the undercover area is larger than the old playground, it doesn't seem to have as much underneath, with a lot of open space instead of the pathways, steps, hollows, tunnels, obstacles, ramps etc which took up almost all of the old playground. Hiding is important but it appears that areas to hide within the structure are almost all needs in the open play like hide concerns appears that areas to hide within the structure are almost all needs in the open play like hide concerns appears that areas to hide within the structure are almost all needs in the open play like hide concerns appropriately advertised on the original playground had all the areas linked so they could move between them without coming down on the ground. Lots of climbing stairs and great high slides. If yr nature play is the sand pit - urgh - my worst nightmare - poorly supervised kids flicking sand everywhere (all over the other kids etc) - attracts